St. Peter's Evangelical Lutheran Church <u>Performance Evaluation Form</u>

Employee Name:	
Job Title:	
Evaluation Period:	
Reviewer Name:	
Date of Review:	
Knowledge of Work	
Consider knowledge of j Score	ob gained through experience, education, and training.
(5): Well informed on al	ll phases of work.
(4): Well-rounded job k	nowledge. Infrequently requires assistance.
(3): Adequate grasp of 6	essentials. Some assistance required.
(2): Requires considerat	ole assistance.
(1): Inadequate knowled	dge. Requires improvement to retain.
Additional Reviewer Cor	nments:
Quality of Work	
Consider accuracy, preci	ision, neatness, and completeness of results regardless of volume.
(5): Exceptional quality.	Work is essentially error free.
(4): Above average quali	ty. Infrequent errors or rejections.
(3): Acceptable; seldom	necessary to check work.
• •	frequent errors or rejections.
(1): Excessive errors or r	ejections. Requires improvement to retain.
Additional Reviewer Cor	nments:
Quantity of Work	
Consider overall volume	of work produced under normal conditions.
Score	
	ices exceptionally high volume.
(4): Above average volur	ne.
(3): Average volume.	
(2): Volume below avera	-
(1): Inadequate volume.	Requires improvement to retain.

Additional Reviewer Comments:

Initiative Resourcefulness, willingness and ability to carry out responsibility. (5): Consistently and aggressively works toward approved goals. (4): Frequently sets and works toward approved goals. (3): Initiates activity within normal routine. (2): Seldom initiates activity during normal routine. (1): Need frequent direction. Requires improvement to retain. Additional Reviewer Comments: **Teamwork in Ministry** Consider how employee works as an overall part of the ministry of the church. Score (5): Exceptional team player; consistently puts vision of church ahead of his/her own vision. (4): Above average team player; frequently puts vision of church ahead of his/her own vision. (3): Team player. (2): Below average team player; seldom puts vision of church ahead of his/her own vision. (1): Often unacceptable; puts own vision ahead of the church as a whole. Requires improvement to retain. Additional Reviewer Comments: **Interpersonal Relationships** Consider the degree to which the employee interacts harmoniously and cooperates with church members, attenders, and others. Score (5): Use of exceptional tact and diplomacy in dealing with people. (4): Cooperates well with others. Frequently promotes harmony and positive feedback from others. (3): Adequate skills at interpersonal relationships. (2): Has difficulty interacting with people. (1): Frequent conflicts with others. Requires improvement to retain. Additional Reviewer Comments: **Dependability/Responsibility** Consider degree to which the employee can be relied upon to carry out duties and to meet deadlines without close supervision. Score _ (5): Consistently fulfills all job responsibilities and duties. Totally reliable. (4): Can be depended upon to get the job done with little or no follow up. Very reliable. (3): Assumes all responsibilities specifically assigned. Reliable.

(1): Fails to accept responsibility even when specifically assigned. Requires improvement to retain.

(2): Accepts some responsibilities, but must be reminded.

Additional Reviewer Comments:

Attendance/Punctuality
Consider employee's requests and use of leave.
Score (5): Consistently regular and prompt in attendance. Adjusts schedule to work needs
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(4): Regular in attendance. Frequently considers work load when requesting leave.
(3): Generally present. Usually considers work load when requesting leave.
(2): Frequent absences or tardiness. Impacts job performance.
(1): Excessive absences or tardiness. Requires improvement to retain.
Additional Reviewer Comments:
Reviewer Overall Rating:
Score
(5): Exceptional
(4): Above average.
(3): Average
(2): Below average.
(1): Unacceptable. Requires improvement to retain.
Additional Reviewer Comments / Improvement Suggestions
Employee Comments: I have discussed this evaluation with my supervisor.
I feel my performance review
was fair and impartial.
☐ was not fair and impartial.
Additional Employee's Comments:
Additional Employee's comments.
<u>Signatures:</u> The employee's performance was reviewed with the employee.
Signature of Employee:
Date:
Signature of Reviewer:

Date: